

### REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action of May 12, 2009. With this response claims 1, 12, 14 and 15 are amended and all pending claims 1-4, 7-9 and 12-18 are presented for reconsideration and favorable action.

In the Office Action, claims 1-4, 7-9 and 16-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. With this amendment claim 1 is amended and it is believed that the rejection may be withdrawn.

Claims 1-4, 7-9 and 14-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based upon Gokcebay et al. (US 6,826,935) in view of Lin (US 5,447,047). With this response, the particular “wireless” configuration has been clarified. Support for these amendments can be found, for example, at line 24 of page 5 along with the figures. With this response, it is clear that the claims refer to typical wireless communications in which the communication occurs between two spaced apart (remote) devices which are not in physical contact with each other and do not use wires running therebetween. As this configuration is not shown by Gokcebay et al., it is believed that the rejection may be withdrawn.

Applicant further notes that claims 16-18 do not have any apparent art rejection and are therefore believed to be in condition for allowance.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Consideration and favorable action are respectfully requested.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue, or comment, including the Office Action’s characterizations of the art, does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue, or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment or cancellation of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment or cancellation. Applicant reserves the right to prosecute the rejection claims in further prosecution of this or related applications.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: Judson K. Champlin/

Judson K. Champlin, Reg. No. 34,797  
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3244  
Phone: (612) 334-3222  
Fax: (612) 334-3312

JKC:lrs